KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER CESAR B. PAULA

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Cesar B. Paula
Group Art Unit 2178
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Washington, D.C. 20231

FROM: David W. Victor

OUR REF: 0054.0038
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-571-273-4128

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted: INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM (PTOL-413A)

Applicant:	J.R. Ehrman
Serial No.:	09/613,083
Filed:	July 10, 2000
Group Art Unit:	2178
Docket No.:	STL920000055

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 22, 2005

By: _____
Name: David W. Victor

PTOL-413A
0054.0038

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/613,083   First Named Applicant: J.R. Ehrman
Examiner: Cesar B. Paula   Art Unit: 2178   Status of Application: After Final

Tentative Participants:
(1) David W. Victor   (2) Cesar B. Paula
(3) _____   (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: September 22, 2005   Proposed Time: 2:00 PM (EST)

Type of Interview Requested:
(1) ■ Telephonic   (2) □ Personal   (3) □ Video Conference

Exhibit to be shown or demonstrated: □ Yes   ■ No
If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
Obviousness Rej.	1-24	Background Section and Edberg	□	□	□

□ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:
   With respect to claims 1, 9, and 17, want to discuss why the cited art does not teach claim requirement of translating a non-Unicode character string associated with a constant having a Unicode data type into a Unicode character string.
   With respect to claims 6, 14, and 27, want to discuss why cited art does not teach a scope specifying a portion of a program to translate, where the scope indicates to translate the entire computer program. There is no mention in the cited art of a scope or other value indicating to translate non-Unicode character strings for the specified scope, – the entire computer program.
   With respect to claims 7, 8, 15, 16, 23, and 24, want to discuss why cited art does not teach a local scope or a constant scope that applies only to a constant, such that translation occurs only to that portion of the computer program specified by the scope.

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

_____   _____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)   (Examiner/SPE Signature)